RAPID COMMUNICATION

A Novel Series Colorimetric and Off–On Fluorescent Chemosensors for Fe³⁺ Based on Rhodamine B Derivative

Di Zhang • Ruyi Zou • Min Wang • Meimei Chai • Xubin Wang • Yong Ye • Yufen Zhao

Received: 20 January 2012 / Accepted: 3 August 2012 / Published online: 18 August 2012 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2012

Abstract A novel series colorimetric and off–on fluorescent chemosensors (2a, 2b, 2c) were designed and synthesized, which showed reversible and highly selective and sensitive recognition toward Fe^{3+} over other examined metal ions. Upon addition of Fe^{3+} , sensors (2a, 2b) exhibit remarkably and 2c exhibits moderate enhanced absorbance intensity and color change from colorless to pink in CH₃OH–H₂O(1:1, v/v). The three compounds (2a, 2b, 2c) may therefore be applicable as rhodamine-based turn-on type fluorescent chemosensors.

Keywords Rhodamine \cdot Sensors \cdot Fe³⁺ \cdot 1,2,4-triazoles

Introduction

The design and development of sensors for the detection of heavy and transition metals are significant due to their vital

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10895-012-1118-1) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

D. Zhang \cdot R. Zou \cdot M. Wang \cdot M. Chai \cdot X. Wang \cdot Y. Ye (\boxtimes) \cdot Y. Zhao

Phosphorus Chemical Engineering Research Center of Henan Province, Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China e-mail: yeyong03@tsinghua.org.cn

Y. Zhao

Key Laboratory for Chemical Biology of Fujian Province, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

Y. Ye \cdot Y. Zhao (\boxtimes)

Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Phosphorus Chemistry & Chemical Biology (Ministry of Education), Department of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China e-mail: zicb@zzu.edu.cn role in biological and environmental application [1-3]. Iron is one of the most essential metals in the biological systems and plays crucial roles in cellular metabolisms. Especially, ferric iron (Fe³⁺) is widely retained in many proteins and enzymes either for structural purposes or as part of a catalytic site. Detection of trace amounts of Fe³⁺ is of great importance as iron is the most abundant essential trace element in the human body, and performs an important role in many fundamental physiological processes in organisms. However, only a few sensors for Fe³⁺ have been reported despite its importance in many biochemical processes at the cellular level [4–10]. Furthermore, Fe³⁺ is a well-known fluorescence quencher due to its paramagnetic nature, which makes it difficult to develop a sensitive turn-on fluorescent sensor [10]. There have been reported several methods for detecting iron such as atomic absorption, spectrophotometry, colorimetry and voltammetry techniques, but they generally require exorbitant equipment, intricate sample preparation procedures, and trained operators.

The rhodamine moiety has been used widely in the field of chemosensors, especially as a chemodosimeter, given its fluorescence OFF-ON behavior that results from its particular structural properties [11]. A lot of successful attempts have been made to develop selective fluorescent sensors based on rhodamine B, such as Cu^{2+} [12], Pb^{2+} [13], Hg^{2+} [14], Fe^{3+} [15] and Cr^{3+} [16]. As reported, the OFF/ON fluorescence switching of these chemosensors is based on structure change of the rhodamine moiety between spirocyclic and open-ring forms [17], the mechanism involves the formation of a ring-opened form of the spirolactam upon cation binding, resulting in fluorescence enhancement (550–600 nm).

Herein, we report three new rhodamine-based fluorescent chemosensors (2a, 2b, 2c) containing a 1,2,4triazoles moiety, which is synthesized by two-step facile condensation (Scheme 1). 1,2,4-triazoles are important molecules with significant properties that have found Scheme 1 Synthetic route of 2a, 2b, 2c



widespread applications in foremost sectors of chemical sciences [18]. They are also largely used as ligands in coordination chemistry finding applications as molecular magnetic materials and dye-molecules in regenerative solar cells [19].

Experimental Section

Apparatus

Fluorescence spectra measurements were performed on a HITACHI F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer, and the excitation and emission wavelength band passes were both set at 4.0 nm. Absorption spectra were measured on a Lambda 35 UV/VIS spectrometer, Perkin Elmer precisely. The melting points were determined by a X-4 microscopic melting point apparatus with a digital thermometer (Shanghai, China). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker DTX-400 spectrometer. Samples were dissolved in CDCl₃ and placed in 5 mm NMR tubes. TMS was used as internal reference. Electrospray ionization(ESI) mass spectra was conducted in positive ionmode using a Bruker Esquire 3000 instrument(CH₃OH was used as solvent).

Materials

All chemicals and reagents were used as received from commercial sources without further purification. Solvents for chemical synthesis were purified according to standard procedures. Chloride salts of metal ions (K⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Ba²⁺, Zn²⁺, Fe³⁺, Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺, Pb²⁺, Cu²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cr³⁺, Hg²⁺) and the nitrate salt of Ag⁺ ions were used to evaluate the metal ion binding properties by synthesized compounds. The metal ions were prepared as 10.00 mmol/ L in water solution.

Synthesis

Synthesis of Compound 2a

N,N-Dimethylformamide Azine Dihydrochloride (3) and compound 1a was syn- thesized by reported methods [18, 20].

To a stirred solution of compound **1a** (0.97 g, 2 mmol) in toluene (30 mL), N,N- Dimethylformamide Azine Dihydrochloride (3) (0.284 g, 2 mmol)was added. The solution was refluxed for about 15 h and the mixture was filtrated, the filtrate was concentrated by evaporation. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH=25/1) gave 0.407 g of white solid in a yield of 38 %. The proposed molecular structure and its purity were confirmed by various spectroscopic analyses. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): 1.17–1.21 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 12H, H¹), 3.33–3.38 (m, 8H, H²), 3.45-3.48(t, J=6.0 Hz, 2H, H¹⁷), 3.76-3.80(t, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, H¹⁸), 6.26–6.36 (m, 4H, H^{8,4}), 6.42(s, 2H, H⁷), 7.13– 7.15(d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, H¹¹), 7.50-7.51(t, J=2.0 Hz, 2H, H^{12,13}), 7.91–7.94(t, J=6.0 Hz, 3H, H^{14,19}). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃ ppm): δ 168.1(C¹⁶), 153.4(C⁵), 152.7 (C^3) , 149.0 (C^{19}) , 142.7 (C^{10}) , 133.0 (C^{15}) , 130.8 (C^7) , 128.6 $(C^{12}), 128.4(C^{13}), 124.0(C^{11}), 123.0(C^{14}), 108.4(C^{6}),$ $104.8(C^8)$, $97.5(C^4)$, $65.0(C^9)$, $44.4(C^2)$, $42.6(C^{17})$, 40.1 (C^{18}) , 12.6 (C^{1}) . ESI-MS: Calcd for $[C_{32}H_{36}N_6O_2]$: 536.3. Found: 537.4[M+H⁺]⁺, 559.3[M+Na⁺]⁺. (Supporting Information, Figs. S1, S2, S3). M.p.:122-124 °C.

Synthesis of Compound 2b

The following compound was prepared using a general procedure which is essentially similar to that used for **2a**. Compound **1b** was synthesized by reported methods [20]. Yield of **2b**: 38.2 %. ¹H NMR (400 MHz,CDCl₃, ppm): $\delta 1.13-1.25$ (m, 12H, H¹), 2.37–2.40(t, J=6.0 Hz, 2H, H¹⁸),

2.73–2.76(t, J=6.0 Hz, 2H, H¹⁹), 3.23–3.26(t, J=6.0 Hz, 2H, H¹⁷), 3.30–3.35(m, 8H, H²), 3.85–3.88(t, J=6.0 Hz, 2H, H²⁰), 6.23–6.26 (t, J=6.0 Hz, 2H, H⁸), 6.35–6.39(m, 4H, H^{4,7}), 7.08–7.10(m, 1H, H¹¹), 7.44–7.48(m, 2H, H^{12,13}), 7.90–7.92(m, 1H, H¹⁴), 8.14(s, 2H, H²¹); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃ ppm): $\delta 168.6(C^{16})$, 153.3(C⁵), 149.0 (C³), 143.0(C²¹), 142.7(C¹⁰), 132.9(C¹⁵), 131.1(C⁷), 128.9 (C¹²), 128.5(C¹³), 124.0(C¹¹), 122.7(C¹⁴), 108.3(C⁶), 105.1 (C⁸), 97.6(C⁴), 65.1(C⁹), 48.7(C¹⁹), 47.4(C¹⁸), 44.4(C²), 41.9(C¹⁷), 39.8(C²⁰), 12.6(C¹). ESI-MS: Calcd for [C₃₄H₄₁N₇O₂]: 579.3. Found: 580.3[M+H⁺]⁺, 602.5 [M+Na⁺]⁺. (Supporting Information, Figs. S4, S5, S6). M.p.: 110–112 °C.

Synthesis of Compound 2c

The following compound was prepared using a general procedure which is essentially similar to that used for 2a. Compound 1c was synthesized by reported methods [20]. Yield of 2c: 35.2 %. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): $\delta 1.12 - 1.15$ (t, J=6.0 Hz, 18H, H^{1,18,19,20}), 1.62(s, 2H, H²¹), 3.09(s, 2H, H¹⁷), 3.30–3.32(d, J=8.0 Hz, 8H, H²), 3.86–3.90(t, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, H²²), 6.23–6.25(d, J= 8.0 Hz, 2H, H⁸), 6.36–6.41 (t, J=10.0 Hz, 4H, H^{4,7}), 7.05-7.06(d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, H¹¹), 7.40-7.42(t, J=4.0 Hz, 2H, H^{12,13}), 7.87–7.88(d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, H¹⁴), 8.10(s, 2H, H²³). ¹³C NMR(100 MHz, CDCl₃ ppm): δ168.1(C¹⁶), $153.3(C^5)$, $148.7(C^3)$, $142.6(C^{23})$, $132.3(C^{15})$, $131.4(C^7)$, 128.9 (C^{12}), 128.0(C^{13}), 123.8(C^{11}), 122.6(C^{14}), 107.9 $(C^{6}), 105.8(C^{8}), 97.6(C^{4}), 64.8(C^{9}), 45.1(C^{22}), 44.4(C^{2}),$ $39.8(C^{17}), 30.3(C^{18}), 27.7(C^{21}), 26.1(C^{19}), 25.7(C^{20}),$ 12.6(C^1). ESI-MS: Calcd for [$C_{36}H_{44}N_6O_2$]:592.3. Found: $593.4[M+H^+]^+$, $615.5[M+Na^+]^+$. (Supporting Information, Figs. S7, S8, S9). M.p.: 98-100 °C.



Fig. 1 Absorption spectra of 2a, 2b and 2c (10 μ M) in CH₃OH–H₂O (1:1, v/v) with the presence of Fe³⁺(50 eq.)



Fig. 2 UV–vis spectrum of 2b (10 μ M) in CH₃OH–H₂O (1:1, v/v) with different metal ions (50 eq.)

Results and Analysis

Fluorescence and UV absorption studies were performed using a 10 μ M solution of **2a**, **2b** and **2c** in a CH₃OH– H₂O(1:1, v/v) solution with appropriate amounts of metal ions. Solutions were shaken for 30 s before measuring the absorption and fluorescence. All compounds **2a**, **2b** and **2c** were colorless and found to be very stable in the abovementioned solution system for more than 1 week. The absorption spectra of compounds **2a**, **2b** and **2c** in solutions did not show any peaks above 400 nm indicating the ringclosed spirolactone is predominant. In addition, a very weak fluorescence signal was observed at 580 nm upon excitation at 510 nm, confirming the presence of ring-closed spirolactone [21].



Fig. 3 The titration probe evaluated from the absorption at 560 nm. Job's plot for determining the stoichiometry of 2b and Fe³⁺([2b] + [Fe³⁺] = 100 \mu M)

Fig. 4 ESI mass spectra (positive) of 2b in the presence of FeCl₃ (5 equiv), indicating the formation of a 1:1 metalligand complex



Steady-State Optical Properties

As shown in Fig. 1, UV–vis spectrum of 2a (10 μ M) exhibited only very weak bands over 450 nm. Addition of Fe³⁺ (500 μ M) for both sensor molecules resulted in the appearance of the characteristic rhodamine B absorption at 560 nm. As shown in Fig. 1, in the presence of Fe³⁺, **2a** and **2b** show better absorption spectra than **2c**. We select **2b** as the representation when expatiating the characters of the three compounds in the following discussion.

UV-vis Spectral Responses of 2b

As shown in Fig. 2, UV–vis spectrum of **2b** (10 μ M) exhibited only very weak bands over 500 nm. Addition of 50 equiv Fe³⁺ into solution immediately resulted in a significant enhancement of absorbance at about 560 nm simultaneously the color change into red. Under the identical condition, no obvious response could be observed upon the addition of other ions including Zn²⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Cd²⁺, Pb²⁺, Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺, Ba²⁺, Ni²⁺, Fe²⁺, K⁺, Ag⁺, Co²⁺, Cr³⁺ and Na⁺. The results demonstrated that **2b** was characteristic of high selectivity toward Fe³⁺ over other competitive metal ions.

To determine the stoichiometry of the ferric-ligand complex, Job's method for absorbance measurement was applied [22]. Keeping the sum of the initial concentration of Fe³⁺ and **2b** at 100 μ M, the molar ratio of Fe³⁺ was varied from 0 to 1. A plot of [Fe³⁺] / {[Fe³⁺] + [**2b**]} versus the molar fraction of Fe³⁺ was provided in Fig. 3. It showed that the [Fe³⁺]/

 ${[Fe^{3+}] + [2b]}$ value went through a maximum at a molar fraction of 0.5, indicating a 1:1 stoichiometry of the Fe³⁺ to 2b in the complex. Another more direct evidence was obtained by comparing the ESI mass spectra of 2b and 2b-FeCl₃. As shown in Fig. 4, the cluster peak at m/z=705.2 (calcd= 705.2) corresponding to $[2b+Fe^{3+}+2Cl^{-}]^+$ and m/z=741.3 (calcd=741.2) corresponding to $[2b+Fe^{3+}+3Cl^{-}+H^{+}]^+$ was clearly observed when 5 equiv of FeCl₃ was added to 2b, whereas 2b without FeCl₃ exhibited peaks only at m/z=580.3 and 602.5, which corresponded to $[2b+H^{+}]^+$ and $[2b+Na^{+}]^+$ (Fig. S6), respectively. This indicating the formation of a 1:1 metal-ligand complex.



Fig. 5 Fluorescence spectra (λ_{ex} =565 nm) of **2b** (10 µM) in CH₃OH – H₂O(1:1, v/v) with the presence of 10 equivalents of various species. Top shows the photo of sensor **2b** with different metal ions

Fig. 6 Fluorescence intensity (at 580 nm) of 2b (10 μ M) upon the addition of 10 μ M Fe³⁺ in the presence of 10 μ M background metal ions in CH₃OH– H₂O (1:1, v/v). (λ_{ex} =565 nm)



We also do the same measurement with compounds **2a** and **2c**, they also show the same absorbance and peaks with the addition of FeCl₃. (Figs. S10, S11, S12, S13)

Fluorescence Spectral Responses of 2b

As shown in Fig. 5, **2b** (10 μ M) shows a very weak fluorescence in the absence of metal ions. When 10 equiv. metal ions of Zn²⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Cd²⁺, Pb²⁺, Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺, Ba²⁺, Ni²⁺, Fe²⁺, K⁺, Ag⁺, Co²⁺, Cr³⁺ and Na⁺ were added, no obvious changes on fluorescence intensity and color could be observed. However, under the same conditions, the addition of Fe³⁺(10 μ M) resulted in a remarkably enhancement of

fluorescence at 580 nm. The color of the solution also changed from colorless to pink (Fig. 5, top). This strongly suggested that **2b** can serve as a "naked eye" probe and a high sensitivity for Fe³⁺. Moreover, the competitive experiments also confirmed that the background metal ions showed very low interference with the detection of Fe³⁺ in CH₃OH–H₂O(1:1, v/v) (Fig. 6).

To further investigate the binding stoichiometry of **2b** and Fe³⁺ ion, a fluorescence titration experiment was carried out. An increase of fluorescence intensity of **2b** could be observed with gradual addition of Fe³⁺ ion(Fig. 7). Under optimal conditions, the detection limit for Fe³⁺ was as low as 10 μ M(Fig. 7, inset).

Fig. 7 The fluorescence emission spectra of 2b (10 μ M) in the presence of different concentrations of Fe³⁺(0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0 eq.) in CH₃OH -H₂O(1:1, v/v). (λ_{ex} = 565 nm). Inset: Changes in the emission intensity at 580 nm



Effect of pH

In order to investigate the influence of the different acid concentration on the spectra of sensor **2b** and find a suitable pH span in which sensor **2b** can selectively detect Fe^{3+} efficiently, the acid titration experiments were performed. As shown in Fig. 8, the fluorescence titration curve of free sensor did not show obvious enhancement of fluorescence between pH 3.0 and 10.0, suggesting that spirolactam tautomer of sensor **2b** was insensitive to the pH changes in this range. However, the addition of Fe^{3+} led to the enhancement of fluorescence over a comparatively wide pH range (3.0–7.0), which is attributed to opening of the rhodamine ring. Consequently, sensor **2b** may be used to detect Fe^{3+} in approximate physiological conditions.

Further, it was of great interest to investigate the reversible binding nature of the sensor. To demonstrate the reversibility of **2b**, EDTA (10 eq.), as a strong affinity for Fe³⁺, was introduced into the solution containing **2b** (10 μ M) and Fe³⁺ (100 μ M). Upon addition of CH₃OH–H₂O (1:1, v/v) solution of EDTA (up to 10 eq.) to a solution mixture of **2b** (10 μ M) and Fe³⁺(100 μ M), the fluorescence intensity at 580 nm was decreased (blue line) due to decomplexation of Fe³⁺ from **2b** by EDTA, and further addition of 10 eq. Fe³⁺ could recover the strong fluorescence again (green line) (shown in Fig. 9). This observation is assumed to be due to decomplexation of Fe³⁺ by EDTA followed by a spirolactam ring closure reaction. Thus, **2b** can be classified as a reversible chemosensor for Fe³⁺.

Fluorescence spectral responses of **2a**, **2c** is similar with **2b**, and the result should be seen in the supporting information (Fig. S14, S15, S16, S17, S18, S19, S20, S21).



Fig. 8 Fluorescence intensity (580 nm) of free sensor **2b** (10 μ M) and in the presence of 10 equiv. Fe³⁺ in CH₃OH/Tris–HCl buffer (1:1, v/v) solutions with different pH conditions





Fig. 9 Fluorescence intensity of 2b (10 μ M) to Fe³⁺ in CH₃OH –H₂O (1:1, v/v), (λ_{ex} =565 nm)

Fluorescence Spectral Responses Contrast of 2a, 2b and 2c

We also find that there have some differences among the ability of **2a**, **2b** and **2c** interact with Fe³⁺ ion. As shown in Fig. 10, **2a**, **2b** and **2c** exhibit 63-fold, 98-fold, 33-fold enhancement of fluorescence intensity at peak wavelength 580 nm in the presence of 10 equiv. Fe³⁺, respectively. **2a** and **2b** may therefore be applicable as rhodamine-based turn-on type fluorescent chemosensors.

The fluorescence enhancement of 2c is not as good as that of 2a and 2b, but it also displays moderate selectivity for Fe³⁺. It is maybe due to the long carbon linker between the triazole with the rhodamine group and that result in amide and triazole group having a bad affinity toward Fe³⁺.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we synthesized three easily available fluorescent chemosensors (2a, 2b and 2c) for Fe³⁺. 2a



Fig. 10 Fluorescence intensity (at 580 nm) of 2a, 2b, 2c (10 μ M) upon the addition of 10 μ M Fe³⁺ in CH₃OH–H₂O (1:1, v/v). (λ_{ex} =565 nm)

and **2b** exhibited a strong fluorescence enhancement upon addition of Fe^{3+} while showing almost no response to other cations. The colorimetric and fluorescent response to Fe^{3+} can be conveniently detected even by the naked eye, which provides a facile method for visual detection of Fe^{3+} . **2a**, **2b** and **2c** may therefore be applicable as rhodamine-based turn-on type fluorescent chemosensors.

Acknowledgments This work was financially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20972143 and 20972130) and Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-11-0950).

References

- Prasanna de Silva A, Nimal Gunaratne HQ (1997) Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson, Allen J. M. Huxley, Colin P. McCoy, Jude T. Rademacher, and Terence E. Rice. Chem Rev 97:1515–1566
- 2. Valeur B, Leray I (2000) Coord Chem Rev 205:3-40
- Fan L-J, Zhang Y, Murphy CB, Angell SE, Parker MFL, Flynn BR, Jones WE Jr (2009) Coord Chem Rev 253:410–422
- 4. Xiang Y, Tong A (2006) Org Lett 8:1549–1552
- 5. Tumambac GE, Rosencrance CM, Wolf C (2004) Tetrahedron 60:11293–11297
- Liu J-M, Zheng Q-Y, Yang J-L, Chen C-F, Huang Z-T (2002) Tetrahedron Lett 43:9209–9212

- Bricks JL, Kovalchuk A, Trieflinger C, Nofz M, Buschel M, Tolmachev AI, Daub J, Rurack KJ (2005) Am Chem Soc 127:13522
- 8. Mitra A, Ramanujam B, Rao CP (2009) Tetrahedron Lett 50:776–780
- 9. Ghosh S, Chakrabarty R, Mukherjee PS (2009) Inorg Chem 48:549–556
- Aruna J (2010) Weerasinghe; Carla Schmiesing; Shankar Varaganti; Guda Ramakrishna; Ekkehard Sinn. J Phys Chem B 114:9413–9419
- Lee YH, Lee MH, Zhang JF, Kim JS (2010) J Org Chem 75:7159– 7165
- Xu Z, Zhang L, Guo R, Xiang T, Wu C, Zheng Z, Yang F (2011) Sensor Actuator B 156:546–552
- Kwon JY, Jang YJ, Lee YJ, Kim KM, Seo MS, Nam W, Yoon J (2005) J Am Chem Soc 127:10107–10111
- Hu ZQ, Lin CS, Wang XM, Ding L, Cui CL, Liu SF, Lu HY (2010) Chem Commun 3765–3767
- Hu Z-Q, Feng Y-C, Huang H-Q, Ding L, Wang X-M, Lin C-S, Li M, Ma C-P (2011) Sensor Actuators B 156:428–432
- Huang KW, Yang H, Guo ZG, Yu MX, Li FY, Gao X, Yi T, Duan CY (2008) Org Lett 10:2557–2560
- Yin W, Cui H, Yang Z, Li C, She M, Yin B, Li J, Zhao G, Shi Z (2011) Sensor Actuator B 157:675–680
- Naik AD, Marchand-Brynaert J, Garci Y. SYNTHESIS (2008) No.1, 0149–0154
- Lees AC, Evrard B, Keyes TE, Vos JG, Kleverlaan CJ, Alebbi M, Bignozzi CA (1999) Eur J Inorg Chem 12:2309–2317
- 20. Lee MH, Kim HJ, Yoon S, Park N, Kim JS (2008) Org Lett 10 (2):213–216
- Kim HN, Lee MH, Kim HJ, Kim JS, Yoon J (2008) Chem Soc Rev 37(8):1465–1472
- 22. Job P (1928) Ann Chim 9:113-116